Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,381	KROPP, JORG-REINHARDT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James D. Stein	2874
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) James D. Stein.	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Eschweiler</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 March 2006.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-20</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Mueller and Jewell references of record</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h) № N	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: An examiner's amendmic claims and further to distinguish them from Mueller was distinguish them.	<u>ent to independent claims 1 an</u>	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	- kiDi	L
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required